

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,638	08/29/2001	Takahiro Nakayama	500.40580X00	5603
20457 7:	590 11/07/2005		EXAM	INER
	I, TERRY, STOUT & F		PHAM, TH	ANHHA S
1300 NORTH S SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREE	T	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	VA 22209-3873		2813	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			br		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/940,638	NAKAYAMA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thanhha Pham	2813	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notation (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 October 2005. A both the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)),	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection orief in compliance with 37 CFR 41. If or any extension thereof (37 CFR)	The appropriate extension of final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, may 37 must be filed with 41.37(e)), to avoid di	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any in two months of asmissal of the		
appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rep AMENDMENTS	ly must be filed within the time peri	od set forth in 37 CFI	R 41.37(a).		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or	appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		geotea diannis.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	,		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.</li> <li>Claim(s) objected to: none.</li> </ul>	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-6,8-18,21 and 22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneat will	not he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary		

## AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final acti because applicant failed to provide a showing of go and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. 

Other: See Continuation Sheet.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendment filed on 10/19/2005 changing scopes of independent claims 1 & 6 and including newly added claims requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant argues that the finality of the outstanding Office Action dated 04/19/2005 is premature and should be withdrawn because the rejection of claims 1, 2-6, 8-18, 21-22 to lacks antecedent basis and renders the claims indefinite were present in the claims prior to the Amendment of January 7, 2005. Applicant's argument is not persuasive because the Amendment dated 01/07/2005 changed scope of at least independent claim 1. Final rejection dated 04/19/2005 including new ground of rejection is based on new scope of amended claims, including amended independent claim 1. Therefore, the Final Rejection on 04/19/2005 stands valid and is maintained.